	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/597,249	ALRADADY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2831
All Participants: Status of Application: Amended		
(1) <u>Tiffany A. Fetzner</u> .	(3)	
(2) James Stvhenson reg. No. 38,755.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 March 2010	Time: <u>4:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: 1-80 with respect to the restriction requirement, with applicant making a provisional election to prosecute the MR birdcage coil of neurovascular array claims of claims 1-71, removing interface claims 72-78, & housing claims 79-80; without traversese.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Ex. Contact applicants representative to request a provisional election of a restriction requirement, of applicant's 80 pending claims Applican elected group 1 claims 1-71; with the understanding that claims 72-80 would be withdrawn by the examiner. The examiner was thanked foe hrt time and assistance,.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
and her research resources of the 199469. At pitch suffilling	, wy are exercised appears in	

/T. A. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2831 571-272-2241

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)